

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANDERSON/GREENWOOD DIVISION

STACY A. WHITE,	§
Plaintiff,	§
	§
VS.	§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 8:15-01873-MGL-JDA
	§
CAROLYN W. COLVIN,	§
Acting Commissioner of Social Security,	§
Defendant.	§

ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION AND AFFIRMING DEFENDANT'S FINAL DECISION DENYING BENEFITS

This is a Social Security appeal in which Plaintiff seeks judicial review of the final decision of Defendant denying his claim for Disability Insurance Benefits (DIB). The matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) of the United States Magistrate Judge suggesting to the Court that Defendant's final decision denying Plaintiff's claims for DIB be affirmed.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on June 23, 2016, but Plaintiff neglected to file any

8:15-cv-01873-MGL Date Filed 07/19/16 Entry Number 15 Page 2 of 2

objections to the Report. "[I]n the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not

conduct a de novo review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face

of the record in order to accept the recommendation." Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.,

416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note).

Moreover, a failure to object waives appellate review. Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th

Cir. 1985).

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the standard set

forth above, the Court adopts the Report and incorporates it herein. Therefore, it is the judgment of

the Court that Defendant's final decision denying Plaintiff's claims for DIB is AFFIRMED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 19th day of July, 2016, in Columbia, South Carolina.

s/ Mary Geiger Lewis

MARY GEIGER LEWIS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE